

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM  
IDENTIFICATION FORM

-----  
AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

Released under the John F. Kennedy  
Assassination Records Collection  
Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).  
Case#:NW 53216 Date: 06-14-2017

-----  
DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM : MILER.  
TO :  
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD  
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO  
DATE : 05/14/69  
PAGES : 51  
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO  
ANATOLE  
NBR  
  
DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET  
RESTRICTIONS :  
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01  
OPENING CRITERIA :  
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED  
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14  
MAY 1969.

**CLASSIFIED  
ATTACHMENT**

-----  
[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~SECRET~~

MR'S E -

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSSENKO

FILE NUMBER

c/c was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security

"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to c/c on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of The Memo for The Record dated 4 April 69,  
Subject: Anatole RE Nosenko  
with atts A B & C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re  
his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk  
that it was a military  
code clerk which was  
recruited — more likely  
he stated important  
recruitment code clerk  
maybe military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - This  
probably, OA-54 - info from  
FBI - not transcript (?) Kovshuk  
in Dec 60).

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. J. e/c

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Analytic Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation"  
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60 - Jan 61 - in offices  
V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told  
personnel he could not find  
mike reports and that look for  
it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT (2) 60-61 Nosecuro -		FILE NUMBER
<p>If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mike" report in his Section? A overheard him ask for reports.</p> <p>A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Strikano announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements &amp; rewards to cases and targets.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

~~SECRET~~

1301

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60

FILE NUMBER

Anatoli says only photographs  
will substantiate Nosenko, as  
Nikolaeov, did travel thru  
Amsterdam — RR to Berlin —  
name alone as traveller on  
manifests, travel control, etc.  
not substantiation.

Requests he been shown  
documentation to substantiate  
actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribanov from what he has provided. This to be test see N's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribanov. See stage see what might be done.

(U)  
Solic opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solie that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Britanna relations -

Acetate says persons talk  
together re hunting or fishing -  
Some thing re appointment in  
Nosewood Transcript re says  
the persons not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. H. Stiller

FORM  
7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

NOSAKHO Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department. Check on safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have. A says flatly military not under Kovstak. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstak.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? Demotion 58 A agrees. (ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Used to check "C papers" re KOVSTAK status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W.S. Hild

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

CHEREPAKOV write-up from British  
(caption: Aleksandr Nikolaevich CHEREPAKOV - 5 pages only)

FILE NUMBER

Solic read. Copy to him today.  
JA read.  
Anatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the U.S. on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in U.S. 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Mosanko's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in U.S. was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in U.S.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according this information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

FILE NUMBER

Anatole, noting in transcript N's statement Suijosh, nor KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A Says he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January, '69

SUBJECT

Nosanko - Churacov - Guk

FILE NUMBER

Awatole says N-C-G closest  
of friends, N would know all C & G  
ops & agents and vice versa.

(Points) N could identify Varsal  
by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in  
Suisse would have been targetted vs.  
Americans not concerned with  
penetration Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question  
said he knew for fact that N-C-G  
closest friends - professional, social-  
talk about women, etc., and he  
had been present when N & C  
talking about operations using  
language, i.e., doubletalk, so  
he, A, did not know what they  
really talking about but indicating  
to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Y. Nosenko Duties American Section

FILE NUMBER

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Khrushchev would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazhev did not know language, Kosolopov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

The response to Solie note re N approach to Koyars at airport, which Solie noted Koyars could not confirm. A says not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) N's Duties American Section

A very positive weak point in N's  
Story is American Section duty -  
N can substantiate - no confirmation  
not normal - a reconstruction not  
actual role at time.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE emphasized point that  
Nosenko should have directly participated  
in approach to American Embassy  
personnel or been in social contact  
with Americans during the period  
Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in  
Dept I Section I. A major point N's  
good language, experience, etc.,  
according to A's knowledge, means  
that N should have followed American  
Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's  
question Solie said only direct  
confrontation N had with  
American was in hallway  
when [REDACTED] pitched back  
[REDACTED] had not identified N.  
Solie said N had attended  
a (one?) 4<sup>th</sup> July celebration  
at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

*W. E. Higgs*

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Bona Fides

FILE NUMBER

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that  
Nosenko is not a provocateur(is):  
a statement Anatole reiterated  
to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Miller.  
Anatole's concern is to point  
out the contradictions, major areas,  
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with Nosenko, the Cherepanov papers including the Russian and Solie's paper re Nosenko and a file. He recorded the his comments.

Bowden - Cherepanov papers - met Bagley of the Am Dept Sec. Directorate. Didn't get into service but it made clear to Bagley double agent. Newspaper account made it clear to A same source as discussed with

Bagley 1962. went to McCon. 1964 rec'd file from Murphy re 1) June 64 - analysis provocateur. Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB service background - no deep analysis of whole case. but notes information, etc.

A position was - had to have acc info he would/could give but went to hostile interrogation - puzzling

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not  
do research completely - latter  
agreement reinterrogation to  
elicit entire story and that (A)  
would review materials and  
analysis - see what do.

Mr. Solie did good job - did what SB  
failed to do fills many gaps -  
abundant info - touches important  
aspects of N background - after analysis  
thinks many things to discuss  
and N can be really assessed  
as bona fide or provocateur  
on a mission.

Can state Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info  
Solie elicited from (good) for  
Conclusion.

Final vol conclusion - analysis  
of evidence - mistakes made -  
analysis & conclusions not supported  
by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type caliber defector from KGB  
need to assess timing and meaning  
account for what happened before  
Penkovsky Case - relevant re  
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -  
into re ops - agents - military - personnel  
after Popov arrest within 1 1/2 yrs  
another GRU walk-in - need  
analyze Penkovsky light Popov  
parallel - up-dated info. look at Penkovsky  
from Popov

∴ Nosenko background against what  
went before - difference Penk 2 yrs  
key to Penkovsky was missile info  
which took more than year to prepare  
Penk or to Rocket School 59 - gave him basis  
similar to Nosenko what before

Golitsyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB  
structure - major reorganization, new tasks  
personnel, operations, agents - some  
FCD + some SCD - 2 info indicated  
long recruitment preparation AMEMB Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko  
contacts CIA in Geneva. Later gave vast  
info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -  
Speaks impressively freely - Give like  
Penkovsky - How can (A) you question  
bona fides because he gave freely -  
Cooperation not criteria to assess  
defector - if defector respects himself  
he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect  
because he had to tell story - do mission  
Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovsky  
had green light - parallel to Golitsyn.

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD  
info available to CIA then Nosenko gets  
green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -  
Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio  
not speak about Penkovsky - speak of Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-67 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this  
he Nosenko - some of minutes - no question against  
Analysis why SB concluded not N, etc.  
important See if any connection to penetration  
of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation  
mistake or deliberate but was warning to N.  
SB can make mistake or calculated -  
~~But don't know~~ If CIA accepted decision  
(which A had made) that N could make  
see if decision results not getting dis info.

II Personal Character - different opinions  
rather not discuss - two sides - can be  
important but not major - nonsense re  
N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not  
that much Key - exaggerated - If  
decides bona fide then important but  
if still questioning then look differently -  
Open question -

III Service in KGB - differences  
details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in sources and years  
if different than factual & significantly lacking  
into operationally then we cannot say as  
was done in analysis that promotions,  
salaries, etc. acceptable on basis of  
speculation. Examine N info vs other  
evidence - cannot discount evidence  
if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62<sup>Dec</sup>  
importance is coincides last 2<sup>A says</sup>  
pts of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G  
knows for fact N did not serve I I  
G told Bagley 61 - among list he  
saw Noseuko name - G did not pinpoint  
N - but said list wrong because G knew  
N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory even though  
many people thought this Still valid -  
still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission. But in this  
Dept. to give authoritative grounds  
speak about ops - vs Ambul in this  
period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tried  
to see if he knew Jack from N not in T.I.  
See first reaction - check about  
penetration - G did not react as they  
might have expected. Only said wanted  
analyses whole - did not exclude  
disinformation.

what is false about background  
is that he worked in T.I. and he held  
position Deputy - false as fact -  
59 position abolished. In 60 was there  
and no N -

GRYAZNOV was Deputy T.I.  
in '59 - when deputy abolished  
he became Senior Case officer - if  
there were deletions would be  
GRYAZNOV to someone in T.I. not necessary

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *AL N.*

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -  
two types Operational and political (policy - connection  
KGB in gov't) 1959 Shelepin - soup - etc.  
He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,  
agents & operations - what significance  
875 KGB officers (Soviet List -  
probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents  
250 current FCD & SCB officers

(tape off - Zerkhin - Mikhail  
Solovtsova photo, Brussels - connection Balitsky,  
not Yuri - lecture KGB school)

Assessment of info - repeat identities KGB  
Pavlovsky did this -  
Golitsyn did even less -  
Substance - what this means -

How many known CIA or others services  
subtract this from N's figures -  
~~total~~ minus references - teachers -  
evaluate ops value remaining -  
extent (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -  
categorize them - just wants - nothing to do.

TAKCHIANOV travelling - suspicious

How N's info fits into what G told <sup>re</sup> personnel  
Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification

Not analysis - as in paper - explain which was  
known - how explain confirm other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents mean -  
maybe very superficial - lacking significance of  
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents  
Agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy

How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry possibilities

Analyze overall - then even particular cases

Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Mikes in Embassy - Soviet mistake  
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

[40]

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

In record analyzing later that he  
identified Belitsky —

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel  
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV & VAKRUSHEV leads to  
secretman - what significance - analyze -  
Solic questions if names S+V  
fed of the Nos volunteers - (check this  
important re CIA penetration in SB)  
Solic hazards guess Nos did not  
volunteer names.

Coincide strange examples same time  
as defection V gives evidence later to drink  
Vakrushev's actions supported validity  
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -  
only one name mentioned (by Solic)  
OSWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM  
7-62 1954



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke  
about d'BB policies - May '59 meeting -  
Shelepin app'v - obligatory read report.  
did not come - only relevant was new  
task K&B -

RHMANITSEV - Shot in '59 for  
contact with Americans -  
tried to give secret report of Shelepin -  
ORDER - report everyone read - SCD  
didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed  
who agent, who exposed -  
Sokolovskii

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions  
conclusionary info

JA <sup>62-64</sup> One fact kept from SR, was info  
re May 59 - Shelepin to see if  
defector would disclose - important

McClure - Khrushchev dismissal, act 64  
to explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI  
3rd country officials - very mixed  
results from extensive investigations

1st - Analysis - evidence NOS not bonafide  
defectors but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made interview NOS by one  
man - wider experience - specialists -  
special assessors - impossible 1 man

2nd Dissemination question - can be no  
broad dissemination - mistake to send  
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -  
before giving all this work should be  
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph  
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASS ~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read. Tols of info -

1. Info re SCD agents in American Embassy  
53-64 -

Andrey - identified as Smith repair codes -  
Howard -

NDS showed name 5X2 y not more.

Annual reports Depts - Directories - awards.  
SCD most open - FCD takes to talk -

Churakov, Guk, Koushale

2. Factual basis disagreement re success

vs AmEmb - Biggest AmEmb SCD Success 54-55-56  
case completed. no details - new info

success explains promotion Col\* Kurbentaukov  
NOT Andrey - (dismissed) Gurbentauka - GURBENTAUKA

KGB college text re KGB Agent. 1<sup>st</sup> time General theoretical  
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.  
invited \* to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM  
7-52 1954

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re Col\* recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalinski op number 55 - one<sup>3</sup> officers - points this way help during all aspects<sup>1</sup> b) recruited Amb-high level; c) Someone CIA That paid - or code clerk with big production -

4. Indication some reactivation. An East by 57 - may be same or not - which was reactivated by Kovshuk in Wash - leaving aside ANDREY - mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in P.C. + drinking - woman agent in State or agent saw for Moscow -

Venerable (venerable) disease

<sup>FBI</sup> (VAL'KOVA) actress w/ Kovshuk - Inge LAPUNOV (P)

(Review<sup>FBI</sup> surveillance reports - females -) doesn't exclude or reject Andrey - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk & Langelle return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape. A told us  
Langelle went known in  
advance to handle one  
agent — when told - who - etc  
for JA)  
☆☆

ZHUKOV was military field -  
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Regt Mil.

5,58-59 — important recruitment  
analyzing Popov case -  
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) MO 2 cont  
re CIA.

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

Dulacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1

DECLASSIFYING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER

Lanselle Nos. 54

1. dreaming to recruit him to  
leave - every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move  
against those in their hands - (This needs  
put against 53-54 compromise theory.)

Kotov from Vienna - went  
returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

Surveillance (for) of Lanselle, significant  
paper to read.

per A's info

6. Significant penetration 60-61 which  
now controversial - C vs Nos. comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says -  
Deputy position, etc. requires reanalysis  
Way to resolve controversy / conflict reanalysis

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosolapov trip to Helsinki -  
contradictory - direct opposite dates  
names, etc. - supported  
independent info not favoring NOS -  
(double-check - significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in status but  
to confuse & mislead after defection.  
He influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked  
Dept I Section

Absolute statement he was not  
and NOG Deputy - a fact -  
TAPE II = □ - 1

9. Code Clerk's case  
need to be reexamined -

NOS June 62 2 pieces  
Belitsky  
Varell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Golitsyn in  
April 62 -  
Enough from Golitsyn so that  
Vassall case would be solved  
irrespective of NIS and UGB -  
known to CIA -

( JA - when came Geneva 15<sup>th</sup> time  
he wanted not to go out with  
British girl because of  
penetration BIS - doubts to  
CIA about security of Brit  
basis & leads )

Cannot accept 6 months too short  
Solic says not 6 only 3

Amateur kids can you discount  
importance when contact made

JA - contact at point of departure  
from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A - and Belitskin case which convinced our 2 main bona fides because code (cover) names our people - alias - also ~~the~~ named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Bussell lecture KGB college '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said

new info in Nos (Soviet) paper -

Belitskin info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golienowski - A concluded KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitskin now known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienowski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raises questions why Golunowski started  
why WGB - possible implication to  
MI-5 - why motivations - why  
giving away Blake.

Really support to A theory Golunowski  
introduced by speculation.

JA - What I had to say certain  
members MI-5 believe Blake fits  
type agent who ideologically  
could be give away - no  
recruitments, no spotting -  
Gave away names, addresses, etc.  
opposed our view when in  
prison - escape changed MI-5  
Dick Ellis controller for  
Blake in Korea - DE German  
agent admits - no 1855 liaison  
in NYC - DE controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

SECRET  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Pour our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory propaganda - Philpotts in Paris - long statements - ops - conclusions Belitsky saw to make contact This talk to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitsky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group (Scientist's traveler -)

NDS info not commensurate claims. he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -  
Important or Give-away.  
Pouches

FCD would not need help from SED to open pouches - This strange -  
Could be GRU agent.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKBusher Jan 18 1964 Paris -  
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -  
no mention Dino S. -

Kovshuk - Audrey Case -

Possible Agent Guk 53-54 in U.S.  
ref to Anatole's previous case  
0 40% -

Query by Solie -

Fark Guk + Nosenko closest friends  
closer than Golitzyn + Guk  
add Churanov - no secrets -  
Audrey good friend

Solie Did Guk talk about Nosenko - yes  
Some -

Churanov recommended NOS, i.e.,  
from American dept not from top  
down - NOS knew what ask when  
went to Kobulev

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical of Directorate

Reputy 2nd CD

Electronic equipment recruitment - code clerk  
letter re Air Research from Source?

Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRICHENOV may have been case officer  
from US to London.

Peckowski investigation -

irrelevant? if Prosecutor knows Pea -

Supported Viaduch

Maurice de Jean -

Dinosauv - Vakrushev

SASHA aspect - did not report  
Kopiekin - special status under Gribanov  
he seemed knew - important - no details  
56-59 - 60 A doesn't know what K was -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kardin-Nosukes

SASHA (Oplor) from London  
pictures from Europe up to A.

Victor Koshov — Case —

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —  
whose also — Pearson how came about  
thing — ref by Nosukes —

Mamedova, Tamara —

analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities NOS & G info —

Preis forward —

Will case was allegedly, [REDACTED]

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE  
FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

Sacr with Bureau name

Gregory now - possible Carol  
may be - showing -

Morone (Morone)

If appeared. Strongly bl. case  
revised -

Kovshuk Dec 60. Jan 61  
2 cases cannot be connected -

~~REDACTED~~ info in 1959 -  
no recruitment

60 recruitment but not explained -

45 minutes - Rules out -  
1

~~REDACTED~~

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside —  
NOS explanation —

Dwelling —  
most crucial point — see himself  
not others —  
MORRIS — GARBLER

TAPE 3

Garbler

HORBALLY (HORBALLY)

Popov case indication that KGB  
learned he warned CIA he under  
control. Clear up — penetration CIA —

KOVSHUK arrival — connected  
Langelle — examine —

Recruited old man Heasor  
before Langelle — Kovshuk would work  
in Wash.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER


Top of Kozlov sleeping  
drinking may be covered up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov  
papers -

dis (mis) information - major by  
itself.

also support Nosenko -

ground work for new operations

 cherepanov heads of Section -

Harmstone papers - cited head of  
Section ZHUKOV in 58 - aug -  
worked against diplomats -  
inconsistent - against  
military - clear to Nosenko -

Analysis info not convincing  
mission

Misinformation fits Counter  
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt  
provocateur - sent

60-61 crucial chosen to  
give him status access to  
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions  
to come later -

CIA - code name 60-61

Plekovsky case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Plekovsky -

SA - may not want comment -

OSwald - nothing to state  
First polygraph - I had heard  
nothing before assassination

French leads - we  
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-52 1954

CLASS

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Jean French leads  
don't work 107 N -

Viaduct - British results  
along lines French -

Motivation - not examined

Barghorn - case figured  
prominently to come to our  
attention

Coordinating Committee  
FCD & SCD 1 - not exactly  
Coordinating Section within  
FCD - not 2 Directorates  
mainly coordinating intelligence  
and work against American  
intelligence -

Not for purpose of Coordinating  
FCD & SCD influential  
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau  
FBI re Sasha

French leads -

De Jean - <sup>A says</sup> partly confirmed  
by Viaduk + Nosenko as  
in interrogation - (compromised)

De Jean said he approached  
& gave some info to Gribunov  
(Chalet said per A -  
(A) → GORBUNOV (Gribunov)

Giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment  
agreed no an agent

(Canadian case) Rock Bottom - Canadian Pub -  
No leads fit Blitzen lead -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.52 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Aristotle Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60 - Jan 61 - in offices of PETROV's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find reliable reports and that look for it.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. C. E. - E. C. H. R. A.

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 noseimo -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "miked" reports in his Section? A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Litvinov announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-52 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Storsberg Case  
America House

FILE NUMBER

A says nowhere that SKVORTSOV could pass as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storsberg identification - Question in A's mind. Solid not at Storsberg and Malone identification and that SKVORTSOV used English and went to America House with Finnish partner. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna SKVORTSOV did not know French - A could be wrong. Maybe SKVORTSOV started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

C. S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM  
IDENTIFICATION FORM

---

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

---

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM : MILER.  
TO :  
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD  
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO  
DATE : 05/14/69  
PAGES : 51  
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO  
ANATOLE  
NBR  
  
DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET  
RESTRICTIONS :  
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01  
OPENING CRITERIA :  
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED  
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14  
MAY 1969.

---

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION  
~~SECRET~~

MR'S E -

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSSENKO

FILE NUMBER

clei was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security

"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to clei on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 7 April 69,  
Subject: Anatole Re Nosenko  
with atts A B + C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

Gr. Scott Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re  
his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk  
that it was a military  
code clerk which was  
recruited — more likely  
he stated important  
recruitment code clerk  
maybe military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - This  
probably DA-54 - info from  
FBI not transcript (?) Kovshuk  
in Dec 60).

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V + e/c

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January '69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Some Nosenko papers)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AmEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60-Jan 61 - in offices V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find reliable reports AmEmb took for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 noseuko -

If Petrov not responsible for  
Embassy why would he have  
"mike" report in his Section?  
A overheard him ask for  
reports.

A says he is sure that before his  
defection N would have no  
problem learning about  
recruitments and operations.  
Mribanov announced  
successes - rewards  
followed in few days - this  
known. Point A makes is  
that N would know - he  
could analyze who doing  
what and piece together  
with announcements &  
rewards to cases and  
targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 1054  
7-62

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1

(10)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says only photographs  
will substantiate Nosenko, as  
Nikolaeon, did travel thru  
Amsterdam — RR to Berlin —  
name alone as traveller on  
manifests, travel control, etc.  
not substantiation.

Requests he been shown  
documentation to substantiate  
actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribanov from what he has provided. This to be test see N's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribanov. Set stage see what might be done.

(U)  
Solis opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solis that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Britanna relations -

Quatale says paws talk  
together re hunting or fishing -  
Something re appointment in  
Nosehol Transcript re says  
the paws not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. Stiller



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department. Check on Safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have. A says flatly military not under Kovstak. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstak.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? Demotion 58 A agrees. (ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Used to check "C papers" re KOVSTAK Status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

CHEREPANOV write-up from British  
(caption: Aleksandr Nikolayevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solo read. Copy to him today.  
JA read.  
Anatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the U.S. on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in U.S. 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Nosenko's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in U.S. was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in U.S.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according this information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

F. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

Anatole, noting in transcript N's Statement Subject, nor KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion he might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A says he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January, '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko - Chernenov - Guk

FILE NUMBER

Avatale says N-C-G closest of friends, N would know all C & G ops & agents and vice versa.

Points: N could identify Vassal by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in Suisse would have been targetted vs. Americans not concerned with frustrations Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question said he knew for fact that N-C-G closest friends - professional, social - talk about women, etc., and he had been present when N & C talking about operations using language, i.e., doubletalk, so he, A, did not know what they really talking about but indicating to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Y. Nosenko Duties American Section

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Khibanov would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy," he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryaznev did not know language, Kosolopov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

The response to Solie note re. N approach to Kaysers at airport, which Solie noted Kaysers could not confirm, A says not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(2) N's Duties American Section

FILE NUMBER

A very positive weak point in N's story is American Section duty - N can substantiate - no confirmation not normal - a reconstruction not actual roll at time.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE emphasized point that  
Nosenko should have directly participated  
in approach to American Embassy  
personnel or been in social contact  
with Americans during the period  
Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in  
Dept I Section I. A major point N's  
good language, experience, etc.,  
according to A's knowledge, means  
that N should have followed American  
Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's  
question Solie said only direct  
confrontation N had with  
American was in hallway  
when [REDACTED] pitched but  
[REDACTED] had not identified N.  
Solie said N had attended  
a (one?) 4<sup>th</sup> July celebration  
at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

W. E. Rice

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Bona Fides

FILE NUMBER

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that  
Nosenko is not a provocateur(is).  
a statement Anatole reiterated  
to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Miller.  
Anatole's concern is to point  
out the contradictions, major areas,  
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with Nosenko, the Cherpanov papers including his Russian and Solie's paper re Nosenko and files. He recorded the his comments.

Boundaries — Cherpanov papers — met Bagley of the Army Dept 5C Directorate Didn't get file to review but it made clear to Bagley double agent Newspaper account made it clear to A same source as discussed with

Bagley 1962. went to McCono 1964 rec'd file from Murphy re 1964 - analysis provocateur

Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB service background - no deep analysis of whole case. but notes misinformation, etc.

A position was - had to have acc info he would/could give but went to hostile interrogation. - puzzle

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - latter agreement reinterrogation to elicit entire story and that he (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

Mr. Solie did good job - did what SB failed to do. fills many gaps - abundant info - touches important aspects of N background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

Can state Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info Solie elicited basis (good) for Conclusion -

Final vol conclusion - analysis of evidence - mistakes made - analysis & conclusions not supported by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type caliber defector from KGB  
need to assess timing and meaning  
account for what happened before  
Penkovsky Case - relevant re  
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -  
into re ops - agents - military - personnel

after Popov arrest within 1 1/2 yrs  
another GRU walk-in - need  
analyze Penkovsky light Popov  
parallel - up-dated info. look at Penkovsky  
from Popov

∴ Nosenko background against what  
went before - difference Penk 2 yrs  
key to Penkovsky was missile info  
which took more than year to prepare  
Penk to Rocket School 59 - gave him basis  
similar to Nosenko what before

Golitsyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB  
structure - major reorganization, new tasks  
personnel, operations, agents - some  
FCD + some SCD - C info indicated  
some recruitments preparation AMEMB Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko  
contacts CIA in Geneva. Later gave vast  
info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -  
Spoke impressively freely - just like  
Penkovsky - How can you question  
bona fides because he gave freely -  
Cooperation not criteria to assess  
defector - if defector respects himself  
he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect  
because he had to tell story - do mission  
Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovsky  
had green light - parallel to Golitsyn.

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD  
info available to CIA then Nosenko gets  
green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -

Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio  
not speak about Penkovsky - speak re Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this  
he Nosenko - son of minister - no question against  
Analyze why SB concluded not N, etc.  
important See if any connection to penetration  
of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation  
mistake or deliberate but was warning to N  
SB can make mistake or calculated -  
~~But don't know~~ If CIA accepted decision  
(which A had made) that N could move  
see if decision results not getting dis info.

II Personal Character - different opinions  
rather not discuss - two sides - can be  
important but not major - nonsense re  
N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not  
that much key - exaggerated - If  
decides bona fide then important but  
if still questioning then look differently -  
Open Question -

III Service in KGB - differences  
details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in service and years if different than factual & significantly lacking facts operationally then we cannot say as was done in analysis that promotions, salaries, etc, acceptable on basis of speculation. Examine N info vs other evidence - cannot discount evidence if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61) <sup>Dec</sup>  
importance is coincides last 2 <sup>A says</sup>  
pts of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G knows for fact N did not serve I I  
G told Bagley 62 - among list he saw Noseuko name - G did not pinpoint N - but said list wrong because G knew N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory even though many people thought this still valid -  
still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission. But in this Dept. to give authoritative grounds speak about ops vs Ambush in this period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tried to see if he knew from T1 not in T1. See first reaction - check about penetration - G did not react as they might have expected. Only said wanted envelope whole - did not exclude disinformation.

What is false about background is that he worked in I1 and he held position Deputy - false as fact - 59 position abolished. In 60 was there and no N -

GRYAZNOV was Deputy I1 in '59 - when deputy abolished he became Senior Case officer - if there were deputies would be GRYAZNOV or someone in I1 not newcomer

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *AL N.*

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -  
two types Operational and political (policy - connection  
KGB in gov't) 1959 Shelepin - conf - etc.  
He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,  
agents & operations - what significance  
875 KGB officers (sole list -  
probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents  
250 current FCD + SCD officers

(Tape off - Zechin - Mikhail  
Serious note photo, Brussels - connection Belitsky  
not Yuri - lecture KGB volume)

Assessment of info - repeat identification KGB  
Parkovskiy did this -  
Golitsyn did even less -  
Substance - what this means -  
How many known CIA or others services  
subtract this from N's figures -  
~~Sub~~ minus retirees - teachers -  
estimate ops value remaining -  
extent (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -  
categorize them - just examples - nothing to do,

TAKCHIANOV travelling - suspicious  
How N's info fits into what G told <sup>are</sup> personnel  
Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification  
Not analysis - as in paper - explain which ones  
Remove - how explain confirms other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents means -  
may be very superficial - lacking significance of  
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents  
Agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy  
How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry pouches  
Analyze overall - then even particular cases  
Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Thibor in Embassy - Soviet mistake  
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

On record analyze later that he  
identified Belitskiy —

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel  
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to  
recruitment - what significance - analyze -  
Solic questions if names S+V  
fed of the Nos volunteers - (check this  
important re CIA penetration in SB)  
Solic hazards guess Nos did not  
volunteer names.

Coincide strange examples same time  
as defection V gives evidence likes to drink  
Vakrushev's actions supported validity  
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -  
only one point mentioned (by Solic)  
OSWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke  
about KGB policies - May '59 meeting -  
Shelepin app't - obligatory read report.  
did not come - only relevant was new  
task KGB -

RHMANITSEV - shot in '59 for  
contact with Americans -  
tried to give secret report of Shelepin -  
ORDER - report everyone read - SCD  
didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed  
who agent, who exposed -  
Sokolovskii

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions  
conclusionary info

JA 62-64  
One fact kept from SR, was info  
re May 59 - Shelepin to see if  
defector would disclose - important

McClure - Khrushchev dismissal act 64  
Co explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI  
3rd country efficiency - very successful  
results from extensive investigations

6- Analysis - evidence NOS not bona fide  
defector but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made intercept NOS by one  
man - wider experience - specialists -  
special assessors - impossible 1 man.

2nd Dissemination question - can be no  
broad dissemination - mistake to send  
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -  
before giving all this work should be  
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph  
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read vols of info -

1. Info re SCD agents in American Embassy  
53-64 -

Andrey - identified as Smith repair codes -  
Howard -

NOS should have 5x2 if not more.

Annual reports Depts - Directories - rewards  
SCD must open - FCD takes to talk -

Churakov, Guk, Koushuk

2. Factual basis disagreement re success

vs AmEmb - Biggest Am Dept SCD Success 54-55-56  
case completed. no details - new info.

success explains promotion Col\* Kurbentauka - Corbatenka  
NOT Andrey - (dismissed) Gurbentauka -  
CHIBATENKA

KGB college text re KGB Agent. 1<sup>st</sup> time General theoretical  
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.  
invited \* to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re Col \*  
recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalingrad  
op summer 55 - one <sup>3</sup> officers - points this way  
help advise all aspects b) recruited Arab-high  
level; c) Someone CIA That period - or code  
close with big production -

4. Indication some penetration AmEmb  
by 57 - may be same or not - which was  
reactivated by Kovshuk in Wash -  
leaving aside ANDREY -  
mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in P.C.  
+ Drinking - woman agent in State or agent sent from Moscow -

Venerable (venerable) disease

<sup>FBI</sup> (VALONOVA) actress w/ Kovshuk - Inge  
LAPUNOV (P)

(Review <sup>FBI</sup> surveillance reports - females -)  
doesn't include or reject Andrey - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk & Langelle  
return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape A told us  
Langelle went known in  
advance to handle one  
agent — when told — who — etc  
for JA)  
★★

ZHUKOV was military field -  
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Dep't mil.

5,5859 — important recruitment —  
analyzing Popov case —  
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) and Zurich  
re CIA.

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

Dulacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(break in tape telephone call)

FILE NUMBER

Lougelle NOS <sup>sent</sup>

1. Meaning to recruit him to  
leave - every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move  
against those in their hands - (This needs  
put against 53-54 compromise theory.)

KOTOV from Vienna - went  
returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

2X

Surveillance (for) of Lougelle, significant  
paper to read -

per A's info

6. Significant infiltration 60-61 which  
now controversial - C vs NOS - comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what NOS says  
Deputy position, etc. requires reanalysis  
Way to resolve controversy / conflict reanalysis

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosolapov trip to Helsinki —  
controversy — direct opposite dates  
names, etc. — supported  
independent info not favoring NOS —  
(double-check — significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in status but  
to confuse & mislead after defection.  
He influenced investigation —

Completely inaccurate he worked  
Dep't I / Section

Absolute statement he was not  
and NOG Deputy — a fact —

TAPE II = □ — 1

9. Code Clerk's case  
need to be reexamined —

NOS June 62 2 pieces  
Belitsky  
Vassall

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Golitsyn in  
April 62 -  
Enough from Golitsyn so that  
Vassall case would be solved  
irrespective of NDS and UFA -  
known to CIA -

( JA - when came Geneva 1st time  
he wanted not to go out with  
British girl because of  
penetration BIS - doubts to  
CIA about security of Brit  
basis & leads )

Cannot accept 6 months too short  
Solic says not 6 only 3  
Axtell asks can you discount  
important when contact made  
JA - contact at point of departure  
from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - and Belitsky case which convinced our 2 main beneficiaries to pose code (cover) names our people - alias - also ~~the~~ named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Russell lecture KGB college '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said

new info in Nos (Sorie) paper - :

Belitsky info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golienowski - A concluded KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitsky now known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienowski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raises questions why Golunewski started  
why WGB - possible implication to  
MI-5 - why motivations - why  
giving away Blake.

Rarely support to A theory Golunewski  
introduced by calculation.

JA - what I had to say certain  
members MI-5 believe Blake fits  
type agent who ideologically  
could be give away - no  
recruitments, no spotting -  
gave away turns, aches, etc.  
opposed our view when in  
prison - escape changed MI-5  
Dick Ellis controllers for  
Blake in Korea - DE German  
agent admits - no 1855 liaison  
in NYC - DE controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory provocat on - Philpotts in Paris - Inia. statements - ops - concluding Belitzky saw to make contact This saw to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitzky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group (Scientist's traveller -)

NDS info not commensurate claims. he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -  
Important or Give-away.  
Pouches

FCD would not need help from SED to open pouches - This strange -  
Could be GRU agent.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE





~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKRushin Jan 18 1964 Paris -  
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -  
no mention Dimoshev -

Kovshuk - Andrew Case -

Possible Agent Guk 53-54 in U.S.  
ref to Andrew's previous case  
40% -

Query by Salie -

Fark Guk + Nosenko closest friends  
closer than Golitsyn + Guk  
add Churanov - no secrets -  
Andrew good friend  
Solid Did Guk talk about Nosenko - yes  
Some -

Churanov recommended NOS, i.e.,  
from American dept not from top  
down - NOS knew what ask when  
went to Koberlov.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical of Directorate -  
Deputy 2nd CD

electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks  
letter re Air research from Source?  
Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRICHENOV may have been case officer  
from US to London.

Peckrosky investigation -  
irrelevant? if K prosecution knows Pen -

Supported Viaduc.  
Maurice de Jean -

Dinosau - Vakhunov

SASHA aspect - did not report  
KOPICKI - special status under Gribanov  
he showed Kuent - important - no details  
56-59 - 60 A doesn't know where K was -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kirov — Nosenko

SASHA (color) Jim Souding  
pictures from Europe upsets A.


Victor Kozlov — CIA —

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —  
whose also — Pearson how came about  
thing — ref by Nosenko —

Wamedova Tamara —  
analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities NOS & G info —

Preis friend —

Will case was allegedly, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Secr with Gorman name

Crupaynot - possible Gaillet  
may be - Stenberg -

Morone (Moron)

If appeared Stenberg bl case  
revised -

Koushuck Dec 60 - Jan 61  
2 cases cannot be connected -

~~REDACTED~~ info in 1959 -  
no recruitment

60 recruitment Sub not explained -

45 minutes - Rule out -  
1 -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside —  
NOS explanation —

Dweller —  
most crucial point — see himself  
not others —  
more — GARBLER

TAPE 3

~~Garbler~~

~~HORBALLY~~ (HORBALY)

Popov case indication that KGB  
learned he warned CIA he under  
control. Clear up — penetration CIA —

KOVSHUK arrival — connected  
Langelle — examine —

Recruited CIA man Moscow  
before Langelle. Kovshuk would work  
in Wash.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT


DATE

FILE NUMBER

Tup re Kovshuk sleeping  
drinking may be cover-up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov  
papers -  
dis (mis) information - major by  
itself.

also support No Senko -  
ground work for new operations

 cherepanov heads of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of  
Section ZHUKOV in 58 - aug -  
worked against diplomats -  
inconsistent - against  
military - clear to No Senko -

Analysis info not convincing  
mission

Misinformation fits Counter  
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt  
provocateur - sent

60-61 crucial chosen to  
give him status access to  
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions  
to come later -

CIA - code man 60-61

Penkovskiy case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Penkovskiy —

JA - may not want comment -

OSwald - nothing to state  
First polygraph - had heard  
nothing before assassination

French leads - we  
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Jean French loads  
don't work 107 N-

Viaduct - British results  
along lines French -

Motivation - not examined

Barghorn - case figured  
prominently to come to our  
attention

Coordinating Committee  
FCD & SCD 1 - not exactly  
Coordinating Section within  
FCD - not 2 Directorates  
mainly coordinating intelligence  
and work against American  
intelligence -

Not for purpose of Coordinating  
FCD & SCD influential  
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~



~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau  
FBI re Sasha -

French leads -

De Jean - <sup>A says</sup> partly confirmed  
by Viaduck + Nosenko as  
in interrogation - (compromised)

De Jean said he approached  
& gave some info to Gritanov

Chalet said per A -

(JA) → Gorbunov (Gritanov)

Giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment  
agreed no an agent

(Canadian  
Case)

Rock Bottom - Canadian Amb -  
nos leads fit Blitzen lead -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Some Nosenko papers)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60 - Jan 61 - in offices V. PETROV's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find Nosenko reports and that look for it.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. PETROV - Chief of Section

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 noseuko -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mike" reports in his Section? A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Embassy announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Storberg Case  
America House

FILE NUMBER

A says nowhere that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storberg identification - question in A's mind. Solis noted Storberg and Morone identification and that SKVortsov used English and went to America House with Finnish parties. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna SKVortsov did not know French - A could be wrong - maybe SKVortsov started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller